

MINUTES
ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ADVISORY COMMITTEE
FEBRUARY 4, 2016

A meeting of the Environmental Policy Advisory Committee (EPAC) was convened on Thursday, February 4, 2016, at 5:15 p.m., in the Pueblo County Department of Planning and Development Conference Room, 229 West 12th Street. Chair Kester called the meeting to order at 5:20 p.m.

ROLL CALL

Those members present were:

Bill Alt	Nancy Keller
Susan Finzel-Aldred	Doris Kester
Sherie Caffey	Ted Lopez
Richard Hubler	Chad Wolgram

Member absent: Becky Cortese (excused).

Guest present: Frank Latino, District 60 and PACOG Board Member.

Staff present was: Sandy Blanco, EPAC Recording Secretary.

Chair Kester asked the new and existing members to introduce themselves.

Mr. Hubler reported he is new to Pueblo, noting he moved last October from Alamosa. He is interested in the environment, noting he's a graduate student from an on-line program from Green Mountain College in Vermont for Sustainability Development. He saw the opportunity to be on EPAC to get a better idea of what's going on in Pueblo.

Ms. Caffey reported she started working for CSU Extension last December as the Horticulture Coordinator, noting she took Lois Illick's place after she retired. She is a Pueblo native and has her Master's Degree from CSU Pueblo in Biology. She is very interested in environmental issues in the community and is excited to be there.

Ms. Keller reported she has been with the City Wastewater Department for 30 years, noting she managed the lab for 22 years. For the last eight years she did regulatory compliance and yesterday it was announced that she got Mr. Michael's job and is now the Wastewater Director.

Mr. Lopez reported he is a native Puebloan. He went to college on the East Coast and lived there for 15 years. In 1994, he came back to Pueblo and couldn't find a place to take his recyclables and got involved collecting phone books. In June, 1994, he joined EPAC and has been a member for 22 years. He has been trying to change the culture and is still fighting the same fight.

Mr. Wolgram reported he's the Program Manager for Environmental Health at the Pueblo City-County Health Department.

Mr. Alt reported he's a third generation Pueblo Coloradoan. He spent 34 years in the U.S. Air Force and came back to Pueblo when he retired. He spent a lot of time in Denver on different conservation boards and gave it up because he didn't like to travel. He noticed the County didn't have a noxious weed program so he encouraged the Conservation District to let him volunteer, noting for the last seven years he's been managing the Noxious Weed Program for the County. His wife was on EPAC but had to resign due to a conflict with another committee. Ms. Joan

Armstrong, Director of Planning and Development, called and asked if he would replace her and that is how he got on EPAC.

Ms. Blanco reported she has been with the Department of Planning and Development for 24 years, noting they are very busy with marijuana and land use issues.

Chair Kester reported she is a Pueblo native, third generation. She got interested in the environment when she took a class at Southern Colorado State College and started teaching kids about the environment. She kept the interest up and retired from teaching a long time ago, noting she currently works at the Southern Colorado Community Foundation.

Ms. Finzel-Aldred reported she's the Environmental Coordinator at the Pueblo City-County Health Department. She does solid waste, recycling, and education events, noting she's been there for 11 years.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES FROM DECEMBER 3, 2015 MEETING

Mr. Alt moved to approve the minutes of the December 3, 2015 meeting. Mr. Lopez seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously. (Note: Ms. Finzel-Aldred was not in attendance at the time of the vote (arriving at 5:30 p.m.)).

CHAIR'S REPORT - DORIS KESTER

Chair Kester stated their proposed change to the City Ordinance was unanimously approved at the last City Council meeting. She also attended a meeting at the Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment, noting she was disappointed because no public officials from Pueblo were in attendance. They are doing a long range plan for solid waste and recycling efforts, noting the plan will be published in July, 2016.

ENVIRONMENTAL COORDINATOR (EC) REPORT – SUSAN FINZEL-ALDRED

Ms. Finzel-Aldred distributed a flyer for Creek Week and read her Pueblo City-County Health Department Environmental Coordinator's Report for December, 2015, and January, 2016, into the record, which is attached as Exhibit A.

Ms. Keller asked Ms. Finzel-Aldred if the Colorado State Fair booth would be located in the same place. Ms. Finzel-Aldred replied she didn't know, noting they're trying to resurrect a more environmental or natural resource focus in the Colorado Building. The attendance was down last year, noting they need to jazz it up or move to a better location.

Mr. Alt stated every May in Colorado City they hold an expo, which draws about 2,000 people. There is a small cost for the booth, but Ms. Finzel-Aldred might think about setting one up. They get a lot of people they don't normally see at the Colorado State Fair because they're strictly from Rye, Colorado City, and Beulah. Ms. Finzel-Aldred asked Mr. Alt if it was a business expo put on by the Chamber of Commerce. Mr. Alt replied it's put on by Colorado City. Ms. Finzel-Aldred stated it would be a good way to promote the Colorado State Fair event. Mr. Alt stated they also publish a newspaper, the Greenhorn Valley News, which has a special edition for the expo. Ms. Finzel-Aldred stated it would be a good source to see what other community events are happening.

Mr. Lopez asked Ms. Finzel-Aldred if the City was doing the tire collection once a month. Ms. Finzel-Aldred replied it was a September, October, and November pilot project. Mr. Lopez asked if they were going to continue it. Ms. Finzel-Aldred replied she didn't know. Mr. Lopez asked why

Vision Recycling didn't take yard waste anymore. Ms. Finzel-Aldred replied due to the expense, noting they have a contract to take the manure from the Colorado State Fair, but it was the expense of the tub grinder and they couldn't charge people to offset it and make any money. Mr. Lopez asked if she had the list of questions from the Stakeholders meeting. Ms. Finzel-Aldred replied no, but it would be a part of the PowerPoint she is expecting on Monday. Mr. Lopez stated one of the overall goals was to get some input from the State and consultant to take back to the Governor's office and come up with a plan on moving materials management in Colorado forward. Ms. Finzel-Aldred stated the consultants were hired by the Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment, Hazardous Waste and Solid Waste Division, who were tasked with putting the plan together. They are very ambitious to put it together and get it published in July, 2016. The State of Colorado doesn't have a Solid Waste Management Plan and no overriding goal of diversion. Mr. Lopez stated it's difficult to get elected officials to do the right thing and just as difficult for the legislature to get a diversion goal and move Colorado further along in terms of materials management. The 2020 Commission meets on the second Thursday of each month at 7:00 a.m., at the SRDA Building. At the next meeting he would mention inviting Ms. Finzel-Aldred to make a presentation about what she does and some of the events she would be organizing this year.

Ms. Finzel-Aldred stated May 7, 2016, is the free day at the landfill. It is not free, noting it's a discount day and all cars and trucks would be charged \$4, and trailers get 40% off the gate rate. The following weekend she would be at the Colorado State Fair collecting the hazardous hard to recycle stuff that's banned at the landfill. Ms. Keller asked Ms. Finzel-Aldred if she needed volunteers, and Ms. Finzel-Aldred replied yes. Ms. Keller asked if she could use kids, and Ms. Finzel-Aldred replied they have to be 18. Ms. Keller stated she has 75 4-H kids, but they're not 18 and have to do community service. Ms. Finzel-Aldred stated she can come up with something for them to do.

WATER QUALITY SUBCOMMITTEE – NANCY KELLER

Ms. Keller reported the hearing on the Temporary Modification for selenium and sulfate took place, noting they were granted two years and not ten years as requested. They will have two years to come up with an alternative effluent limit that can be put in place as a compliance schedule. The Temporary Modification they currently have in their permit has a practice based limit, noting none of the selenium that goes into their system is industrial, but all natural source ground water. Pueblo has ground water with 7,000 micrograms/liter, and the stream standard is 14.1 micrograms/liter, so it doesn't take very much ground water getting into the collection system before the plant discharge exceeds the stream standard. The Water Reclamation Facility (WRF) has a limit in their permit that states they can't accept any industrial sources that exceed the stream standard, which alleviates an industry coming in and using them as a dumping ground for a high selenium process. It protects them from having to meet more stringent standards, which is a good solution for the environment and the City. The Division has decided the environmental benefit is no longer recognized and they are requiring them to meet the number at the end of the pipe going into the river by keeping the ground water out of the system. The water has to go somewhere, noting water flows downhill so more selenium and more high ground water will end up flowing to Wildhorse and Fountain Creek. The staff feels it's going to increase the concentration in the river, which is not a good environmental solution. At the same time, as they start into the discharger specific variance process, there will be a compliance schedule with a limit at the end. The compliance schedule includes \$35 million dollars worth of work to line the sewer lines in the City and keeping the ground water from going into the system. The \$35 million dollars won't do anything for the river other than putting more ground water into the river. The WRF removes half of everything that goes into the system with the bacterial uptake and settling. If they take every drop out of the selenium, they would lower the river from 18 to 17.6, which is basically nothing. The WRF is going to spend \$35 million dollars on lining which will push more of the

ground water toward the river with no benefit to the river, noting they're not happy, but it's not a choice. The EPA's policy states they have to meet the standard at the end of the pipe and that's where they're being pushed to go. They have two years to determine what the limit would be at the end of the pipe and would be working with the Division on getting the number set. The Water Quality Control Commission will hold a hearing to set the timeframe, which is typically ten years with a review every five years. It is a huge expense for the City and the citizens, noting they've probably already seen some increases in their wastewater bill for January and February, which is not ideal, but where they're headed.

Ms. Keller stated the \$35 million dollars breaks down to \$3.5 million dollars per year for ten years to do the lining projects in Basin 3, which is most of Downtown up through Wildhorse Creek over to Fountain Creek. They don't know if the project will eliminate the problem because the ground water has to go somewhere. They have a lot of studies to do the first few years. They will also be addressing sulfate. The segment has a drinking water use and they will be investigating to see if there are any actual uses in place. The closest use is Rocky Ford, noting if it proves to be true and they don't find wells that are used for drinking water, they will move forward with removing the drinking water use. The sulfate standard would also be eliminated and the Division asked them to look at putting in an aquatic life standard. There isn't a national aquatic life standard for sulfate so it would be put on Pueblo's shoulders to develop a number for aquatic life. Ohio and Pennsylvania are in the process of adopting sulfate standards for aquatic life, noting they would try and utilize some of their work.

Chair Kester asked Ms. Keller where the sulfate comes from. Ms. Keller replied the same place as the selenium, noting it's natural in the Pierre shale. The sulfate eliminates a lot of toxicity of selenium and is why the river has high selenium levels without the deformities. The Pueblo area has fairly high levels of mercury from the steel mill pollution over the years, but they're not finding evidence of toxicity. The CSU is doing a study on the birds on the Fountain Creek for mercury and they're not finding any deformities, probably due to the high levels of sulfate. It isn't a problem for humans, and the numbers for aquatic life are very high in comparison to drinking water. The drinking water is 250 milligrams per liter and the aquatic life is 1,000 milligrams per liter, which puts it well above what the WRF discharges. The WRF went through the application process for their permit three years ago. The permit went to public notice 18 months ago and then the Division sat on it. They were told recently the final permit would be out sometime at the end of the month. The Division holds the Arkansas Basin hearing in June, and in December of that same year they have to submit a new application to renew their permit. The permit has been on hold for so long that when they hold the 2018 hearing for the Arkansas Basin, the permit renewal requirement would only give them a couple of years on the new permit.

Mr. Alt asked Ms. Keller what happens if they can't meet the limit on the selenium. Ms. Keller replied they would be in violation at \$35,000 a day. Mr. Alt asked if City Council was aware of that. Ms. Keller replied yes, noting once they get the discharger specific variance in place and have a limit, they can go to the Commission and ask for time to look at other treatment options instead of lining. They would have to utilize treatment that's very expensive, noting the citizens would have to pay even higher rates. The reverse osmosis system is \$250 million dollars, plus they would have a hazardous waste to dispose of, which would be an unknown amount. Mr. Lopez questioned the figure the City has to meet. Ms. Keller replied 14.1 is the stream standard. Mr. Lopez questioned if the City's determination would be accepted, and Ms. Keller replied she didn't know. Mr. Lopez stated if the number is accepted, they have ten years to see where they're at. Ms. Keller stated the intent of a variance is to give them time to do work and studies to determine how to reach a very hard number. Mr. Lopez asked if the City would face a \$35,000 fine. Ms. Keller replied not during the two years they have to prepare for the hearing to get a variance. Mr. Lopez stated the ultimate penalty would be a huge daily fine. It is expensive to line pipes and to replace others, but at the same time, if it's done in ten years, they would have a

relatively new infrastructure for sanitary sewers owned by the City. Ms. Keller stated that's why they push the State toward lining, noting they wanted treatment, which is very expensive with huge amounts of ongoing costs. Mr. Lopez stated all the City has to do is be responsible for whatever comes out at the discharge end. Ms. Keller stated that was correct. Mr. Lopez asked if the selenium getting into the river was of no concern to the City. Ms. Keller replied it's of no concern to the State, noting they told them it's not relevant. Mr. Lopez stated there should be no concern to the City as long as they treat what they're discharging and whatever is read and detected at that level. Ms. Keller stated that was right. Mr. Lopez stated it's going to be costly, but they end up with a relatively new infrastructure. Ms. Keller stated they would never lose value by improving the infrastructure. It is work that needs to be done and it's very difficult to justify that kind of cost with the income level in Pueblo. They can only do so many preventative repairs, and as their lines deteriorate, they would repair the worst ones first. Mr. Lopez stated he asked those questions because when he was on City Council he realized that infrastructure needs to be replaced and wondered why the Pueblo Board of Water Works wasn't doing more. He just found out he's paying \$1 per month for his meter, noting if it should break or the line breaks, he doesn't have to pay for it. The citizens need to realize in this modern day and age with the growth that new technology is replacing the old technology. It is a positive thing, and depending on the outcome, the cost will end someday for the replacement of the lining. Ms. Keller stated it should, noting that section runs around 100 micrograms per liter. The next highest is the Belmont area, which runs around 30, and the others are 10 to 15. If they can fix the pipes in Basin 3, which has the 100 micrograms per liter, they can bring it down to the 15 to 30 range, which is a huge change. If the ground water table goes up and seeps back into the service lines, they don't have the authority or authorization to fix it or require the homeowner to fix it. That is why they wanted ten years to do the lining to make sure it was going to be effective. Mr. Lopez asked if those arguments were presented at the meeting, and Ms. Keller replied yes. The State felt in two years they could come to some agreements on the numbers and do a lot of the work under the variance. Mr. Lopez stated it's not 100% unreasonableness on the part of the State to get Pueblo going in that direction. Ms. Keller stated it increases the City's liabilities because they're going to be doing a lot of guessing since they don't have the studies done. Mr. Lopez stated when this hurdle is realized, there may be some more reasonableness to the discussion. Ms. Keller stated they hope so. Mr. Lopez stated it may require some help from the legislature or the courts. Ms. Keller stated there are a lot of other facilities that have selenium but they're not wastewater. The treatments haven't been used in high volume situations. There are a lot of mining issues and a lot of treatments with mining. They are using lower volumes and have high concentrations. The technology isn't there for wastewater and there aren't many facilities that are similar to what they have with high volume wastewater and selenium issues. There isn't a lot of research taking place. Mr. Lopez questioned the sulfate standard from here to Rocky Ford, and asked if the City was doing studies. Ms. Keller replied they would have to look at the entire segment. The lower Arkansas 1A Segment goes from the confluence of Fountain Creek to just above the Colorado Canal. They will have to do a full evaluation of the wells and any potential drinking water uses for the entire segment. Mr. Alt asked why the City of Pueblo was doing it since it was in the County. Ms. Keller replied it's the City of Pueblo's permit, noting their wastewater permit is impacted by it. In order to remove the drinking water use, they have to do the study to prove there are no drinking water uses. When they originally started putting drinking water uses on segments, the standards were applied a little bit different. The drinking water standards had to be met at the point of intake, noting it was changed and all of the drinking water standards have to be met throughout the entire segment. There are a lot of segments in Colorado that are looking at their drinking water use and removing it. They are reevaluating a lot of the segments to see if the use is appropriate. The Clean Water Act states it needs to be fishable and swimmable, noting the drinkable isn't required so the states have the authority to remove the use if it's not being used.

SOLID WASTE SUBCOMMITTEE - TED LOPEZ

Mr. Lopez reported the City approved a light change adding State language into the City Ordinance, which makes it possible for private industry and waste haulers to establish their own drop-off sites. To get Pueblo moving it might just be a matter of some language changes in the ordinance to make it possible for private industry to take the reins and move forward. It would also require a push by the County, the elected officials, and the City. Ms. Keller asked Mr. Lopez if that was for any waste or just recyclables. Mr. Lopez replied just for recyclable materials.

Mr. Lopez stated he attended the Stakeholders meeting on January 21, 2016, that Ms. Finzel-Aldred addressed. He sat at a table with some people from Colorado Springs, noting they had maps that showed where a lot of the facilities were located in the State of Colorado. There was a lot of frustration and there are no markets. He lived in New Jersey for 15 years, noting they had a lot of dumps and a lot of rain that was contaminating the ground water. The State stepped in and permitted only one landfill in the center of the State. It won't be easy or pretty, but Pueblo needs to get moving in the right direction. There are small recycling companies in southeastern Colorado that are getting the job done, but not Pueblo. Chair Kester questioned the recycling company in Pueblo West. Mr. Lopez replied "We Recycle" sold the facility and joined with Waste Connections. It used to be Pueblo Disposal, which was used as a transfer station and where they're going to do their recycling.

Mr. Hubler stated he previously lived in the County, but recently moved to the City. He questioned if the people who live in the City were required to recycle or to have glass or plastic. Mr. Lopez replied the waste haulers can offer that service, but drop-off sites are the convenient way to recycle. They are concerned about contamination. The bins around town are sometimes over-flowing, which tells them there's a need for that. Mr. Hubler questioned the meeting where they talked about single-stream or multi-stream. Mr. Lopez replied they talked about single-stream, noting the drop-off sites have separate bins. Mr. Hubler stated that seems to be a big issue. Mr. Lopez stated they went to single-stream for convenience rather than having separate bins and taking up more space.

OTHER DISCUSSION

Mr. Lopez introduced Mr. Frank Latino, the new Board member for District 60. Mr. Latino stated he's a member of PACOG and questioned if EPAC was under the umbrella of PACOG. Chair Kester replied yes. Mr. Latino stated he didn't know about this meeting until he received an email a couple of days ago. Mr. Lopez stated they are a group of volunteer citizens that include private citizens, people with industry, and public individuals. They don't only talk about recycling, noting they've looked at land use issues like parks, Fountain Creek, and the cement plant. The minutes are compiled and sent to PACOG, noting sometimes they're asked to support something, which goes to PACOG for discussion. Mr. Latino stated he attended his first PACOG meeting last month and is just getting into the routine of things. He questioned if there was a PACOG member on EPAC. Mr. Lopez replied no, noting Terry Hart has attended some of the meetings. Chair Kester stated Mr. Hart is interested in the environment and the Fountain Creek. Mr. Latino questioned the 2020 Commission. Mr. Lopez replied it used to be the 2010 Commission. Chair Kester stated it's the same Commission, noting they changed their name in 2010. Mr. Lopez stated there are 7 to 9 task forces that meet once a month. Chair Kester stated some of the task forces are active, but they don't make reports. If EPAC invites them then they come. Chair Kester stated they're trying to bring some new life into the 2020 Commission. Mr. Latino questioned if EPAC meets quarterly. Mr. Lopez replied every two months on the first Thursday of the month. Ms. Keller stated they have a 208 Plan for the wastewater plant and anytime they do upgrades they present it to EPAC, who provides their recommendation to PACOG. It would be

good to have somebody from PACOG on EPAC who could help if a recommendation is sent from EPAC.

Mr. Lopez stated EPAC has been in existence since the 1970s. They don't get the respect they deserve, noting some of their proceedings should be taken more serious. Mr. Latino stated he would be more than happy to put in a plug for EPAC. Chair Kester stated it's up to PACOG. Ms. Blanco asked Mr. Latino if he would like an application for EPAC. Mr. Latino replied yes, and asked if she sent him the email. Ms. Blanco replied yes, noting the agenda is sent to all PACOG members. Mr. Latino stated he better not bite off more than he can chew, but would be willing to attend the meetings.

AGENDA FOR FEBRUARY 4, 2016 MEETING

The next regularly scheduled EPAC meeting is Thursday, April 7, 2016, at 229 West 12th Street, from 5:15 p.m. to 6:30 p.m.

ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business before EPAC, the meeting was adjourned at 6:40 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,



Sandy Blanco
EPAC Recording Secretary

SJB



PCCHD ENVIRONMENTAL COORDINATORS' REPORT *For EPAC Meeting 2/4/16*

December 2015

General Work

The Environmental Coordinator prepared advertizing and promotion for the Christmas Tree Recycling event, set for January 2016. Outdoor signs advertizing were posted at the tree sale lots, Fox's Garden and north and south King Soopers. Color flyers were distributed to hardware and garden stores.

The end of the year supplies and equipment inventory and cleaning was completed, and yearly reports for the city and county on EC activities were started.

Public Education

Susan was interviewed by Pueblo West High student for a class project on recycling and upcycling art. The Environmental Coordinator will serve on the planning committee for the Stormwater Regional Seminar, to be held in February 10, 2016. The Environmental Coordinator will help assist with promotion, complete set-up and assist at the seminar which will take place at the Colorado State University-Pueblo Ballroom.

Events: *City of Pueblo Tire Recycling Pilot Project*

The Environmental Coordinator assisted at the gate in November. Final data for the three month pilot was 63 participants who dropped off 658 tires total.

Recycle Hotline calls = 45 people

Recycle Guides mailed = 4

Solid Waste Assistance Program (SWAP):

An elderly resident and his grown son in the Belmont neighborhood were awarded a 30 yd roll-off for accumulated junk in the house and hoarded bagged trash in the yard.

Environmental Coordinator attended the **following meetings:** Stormwater Quality Advisory Committee, Sustainable Pueblo Group, EPA Webinar on handling recycling contamination issues, County Sustainability Core Team, Colorado State University Extension - Family and Consumer Sciences Advisory Board meeting

January 2016

General Work:

The Environmental Coordinator prepared reports on last month's activity and began compiling the 2015 summary for the stormwater education and outreach report for the City, County, Pueblo

Page 1 of 2

EXHIBIT A

City Schools (District 60) and Colorado State Fair. This report includes data from all clean-up and recycling events, school presentations, classes held and booths.

Planning for Fountain Creek Watershed District "Creek Week" began this month. The dates for the 3rd annual event are September 24-October 2, 2016. A goal for this year is to organize a challenge between Pueblo local high school student councils or athletic teams.

Education:

The Environmental Coordinator attended the "SustaInnovation" event at CSU-P on Thursday January 28, 2016. The event was slated as a day of eco- engagement and sustainability networking, organized by students. This was the first annual public event and about 50 participants attended. The event featured a session on upcoming campus and LEAF initiatives (eco-ambassador program. LEAF held the event to engage the community regarding their relationship to food consumption, health, and environmental awareness. Susan was able to speak to some students about the 3rd Annual 'Creek Week', and hand out 'Recycle Guides'.

Events: *Christmas Tree Recycling*

Tree drop-off began on Saturday January 4, with 50 participants. The drop-off site was again Vision Recycled Aggregate and Landscaping. The Environmental Coordinator and work crew from CDOC Youth Offender System met participants on the first and third Saturdays. On the remaining days, participants did self drop-off. Residents dropped off 325 trees (2015=366 trees). This figure has been dropping each year for 5 years. Sponsor Black Hills Energy paid for the chipping by Asplundh Tree Service and the mulch was given away to 15 different residents on Monday, January 18. Donations were very low this year at \$60.00 received.

Regional Stakeholders Meeting-Pueblo

This half-day meeting was held at the Rawlings Library on January 21 hosted by CDPHE Solid Waste and Hazardous Materials Division. Representatives from local government, nonprofits, private sector and activist citizens in the waste and recycling fields were invited; 32 people attended in Pueblo. One opinion shared was that the 'hub & spoke' system in southern Colorado is a good idea, but the smaller spokes (smaller rural communities) need financial and logistic support. A total of sixteen regional meetings will be held in the next two months. The Environmental Coordinator attended and discussed at a breakout table the current successes of the waste system, frustrating challenges, and ideas for the future for materials management and diversion in our area.

Recycle Hotline calls = 52 people

Recycle Guides mailed =2

Solid Waste Assistance Program (SWAP)

The existing property in Belmont from December 2015 continues to clean. Two new cases in the city limits, initiated by the resident or family, will be investigated and are candidates for assistance. A property on Water Barrel Road in the county was referred by DSS, and will earn a smaller dumpster for clean-up. All these cases will get containers the first week of February.

The Environmental Coordinator attended the **following meetings:** *Colorado Association For Recycling* Education and Outreach Committee, and Board Meeting, PCCHD General Staff, Stormwater Seminar Plan Team, Creek Week Plan Team, County Sustainability Plan Core Committee