

It's how we're all connected

July 31, 2013



Mr. David Benbow General Services Engineer Pueblo County Department of Engineering and Public Works 33601 United Avenue Pueblo, CO 81001

Re: Maxwell concerns

Dear David:

Colorado Springs Utilities is aware that issues related to the Southern Delivery System (SDS) construction project were raised at the July 15, 2013 Board of Pueblo County Commissioners meeting by Mr. Dwain Maxwell, who resides at 1123 N. Kirkwood Drive in Pueblo West. In response, I wanted to provide some background information on our past and current interactions with the property owner that may be helpful to you. Colorado Springs Utilities has worked diligently with Mr. Maxwell to address issues he has raised for several years, and we continue to collaborate with him as we revegetate the former construction area on his property.

As you are aware, Colorado Springs Utilities was required to acquire temporary and permanent easements on more than 140 properties in Pueblo West to build the SDS water pipeline. By January 2012, we had reached agreements on final compensation with virtually all the owners of these parcels. Mr. Maxwell was one of only three property owners with whom we could not reach an agreement for final compensation. With the acquisition of an easement, we purchase the right to use the property but the property owner actually retains ownership and use of the property subject to easement and setback requirements.

Based on an appraisal performed for Colorado Springs Utilities, we offered Mr. Maxwell \$2,200 for temporary and permanent easements across his property, which he declined. As a settlement proposal, we offered him \$3,000. Mr. Maxwell refused both offers and opted to have his case heard in the Pueblo County Court to determine final compensation. In March 2012, a Pueblo County jury of six citizens awarded Mr. Maxwell \$1,850 for the temporary and permanent easements and awarded none of the damages he requested. Colorado Springs Utilities compensated Mr. Maxwell per this jury decision. In addition, Mr. Maxwell's appraisal was paid for by Colorado Springs Utilities, as were his direct expenses and court costs.

Pipeline construction began on his property in September 2011. At the end of this process, Mr. Maxwell signed an agreement in which he released "... Colorado Springs Utilities, and its contractors, agents and employees, from any and all claims and demands of whatsoever nature related to construction and revegetation of the Southern Delivery System..." to the extent activities occurred prior to September 10, 2012.

121 South Tejon Street, Third Floor P.O. Box 1103, Mail Code 930 Colorado Springs, CO 80947-0930

Phone 719.668.4800 Fax 719.668.8734 http://www.csu.org Pifher letter to Benbow Page 2 July 31, 2013

To honor our commitments to property owners in Pueblo West and comply with our Pueblo County 1041-land use permit, we began revegetating areas impacted by SDS construction in 2012, including Mr. Maxwell's property. Mr. Maxwell entered into a revegetation license agreement on the property in 2012 to allow SDS to better manage its revegetation efforts on the property. A native seed mix, selected for its drought tolerance and compatibility with the natural climate in this region, was planted last fall. Mr. Maxwell and others impacted by construction received additional compensation for allowing us to continue to access their property to plant, water, establish and monitor the growth of native grass seed.

The SDS vegetation restoration plan was developed in collaboration with independent experts from the Colorado Natural Heritage Program at Colorado State University. In addition, we have consulted with Pueblo County's independent expert to develop the best plan to establish vegetation in an arid climate using best management practices. Furthermore, the revegetation contractor, in consultation with the independent experts, carefully developed the irrigation plan balancing the water needs of the native seed mixes with the responsibility to use water wisely and efficiently during the current drought conditions.

The amount of water applied and length of time each irrigation zone operates fluctuates based on specific soil conditions and soil moisture content within each zone. Among other factors that affect the water schedule is terrain, precipitation, and periods of root growth for the seedlings.

We are continuing to communicate with Mr. Maxwell to address his concerns regarding the revegetation progress on his property. While seeds are starting to germinate, growth varies in areas and may be somewhat limited during the hotter summer months. As noted, we are monitoring the progression closely and communicating with Mr. Maxwell about our plans. The objective remains full and continuous compliance with the restoration obligations found in the 1041 permit.

We will continue to work to minimize disruption to Pueblo West residents including Mr. Maxwell. Our construction facilitators in Pueblo West meet with residents frequently to answer questions. Property owner interaction has and continues to be a top priority for SDS.

If you have any questions or comments or would like Colorado Springs Utilities to brief the Commissioners on the topic, please do not hesitate to contact me directly. I can also provide additional reference materials upon request. See attachments.

Sincerely,

Mark Pifher Permitting and Compliance Manager Southern Delivery System

CC: Joan Armstrong

Date	Name of Caller	Reason for Call	Response	Follow Up	Disposition
Date 11/21/2011 3/13/2012	Name of Caller Dwayne Maxwell Dwain Maxwell	Reason for Call Concern about unexpected fencing activity in easement. Dust complaint	Fencing crews were given	Follow Up Reschedule fencing crews to do these properties in chain link, rather than orange construction fencing. None requested	Disposition Resident was accepting of new fence plan and were cordial as chain link was placed on the easement a few weeks later. Resident satisfied with outcome.
3/19/2012	Dwain Maxwell	Dust complaint, concern about night vehicle maintenance near his home.		None requested	Resident satisfied with outcome.
3/20/2012	Dwain Maxwell	Question about our grading to final contours.	Shared information with him about our commitment and obligations to return land to original contours.	None requested	Resident satisfied with outcome.

SDS Pueblo County Citizen Call Log Excerpts - Q3 2011 through July 2013

6/7/2012	Dwain Maxwell	Questions about installation/location of Pueblo West's trail	Explained that gravel staged in the construction area will not stay there and will be used for trail only, and that trail will not be on Mr. Maxwell's property.	None requested	Resident seemed satisfied.
6/15/2012	Dwain Maxwell	Called to inquire about the timing of final items associated with his court proceeding.	The land team coordinated with Mr. Maxwell to address his questions.	None requested	Resident seemed satisfied.
8/19/2012	Dwain Maxwell	Concerned about potential impact to the stucco of his home stemming from construction earlier in the year.	Contacted project manager who requested monitoring data to investigate any potential impacts to nearby property.	Monitoring data supported that there was no activity that would have caused damage to nearby property. Information was shared with the property owner.	Resident concerned, but seemed satisfied after several meetings.
6/7/2013	Dwain Maxwell	Resident called to inquire about his watering schedule, his missing that day's watering, and express his concern that the area needs more water.	SDS called Mr. Maxwell and discussed the watering schedule and that sometimes it does vary. SDS indicated they would see if a representative was able to manually start his zone for some auxiliary	No representatives were available to stop by the property. Reveg team indicated enough water had been applied to last through weekend.	Mr. Maxwell was very concerned and expressed his displeasure that watering needs to be followed through on more consistently.
6/10/2013	Dwain Maxwell	Resident called to inquire about his watering schedule, his missing that morning's watering, and express his concern that the area needs more water.	initiated his Monday watering.	The system was operational, however it was found that the system had not been restarted for the week until after Mr. Maxwell's morning cycle would have initiated. SDS manually ran his sprinklers to catch up on the water cycle.	Mr. Maxwell was very concerned and expressed his displeasure that watering needs to be followed through on more consistently.

6/14/2013	Dwain Maxwell	Resident called to inquire about his watering schedule, his missing that afternoon's watering, and express his concern that the area needs more water.	SDS called him back and let him know that the irrigation schedule is being reset, so his times would vary as the contractor prepares the new schedule for the following week.		Mr. Maxwell was very concerned and expressed his displeasure that watering needs to be followed through on more consistently.
7/12/2013	Dwain Maxwell	Resident called to inquire about the watering schedule and requested specific times and days of the week for watering. He expressed concern about oversaturation of irrigation water on the property and its effect on revegetation.	SDS spoke with him to better understand his concern about oversaturation and began exploring irrigation options to address his concern. SDS requested a a few days to seek a solution.	Mr. Maxwell expressed his interest in having his request addressed immediately. SDS suggested to have a representative meet with him the upcoming week to further discuss the revegation efforts on his property. Following the call, SDS began a new discussion with the SDS revegetation team related to watering schedules.	Mr. Maxwell was very concerned and expressed his displeasure that his request could not be met immediately.
7/19/201	Dwain Maxwell	Resident called SDS representative who he saw at his next door neighbors and requested that he stop by to discuss activities on his property.	discuss options with Mr. Maxwell related to irrigation timing on his property. The SDS representative indicated that they were continuing to inquire about	would work with their revegetation team to identify potential refinements to the irrigation process so runoff was not occuring on	determine what other approach for irrigation might be feasible. He indicated he understood the

7/26/2013	Dwain Maxwell	SDS and Mr.	SDS and Mr.	Mr. Maxwell	Mr. Maxwell
		Maxwell spoke	Maxwell	requested to be kept	expressed his
		about conditions at	discussed meeting	updated about	displeasure with
		the property. Mr.	during a watering	changes to irrigation	the revegetation
		Maxwell said he has	cycle to better	on his property.	progress and
		not noticed any	visualize how the		requested to be
		changes. The SDS	system is		kept informed
		representative	operating. Mr.		about adjustments
		indicated some	Maxwell		to his irrigation
		refinements had	expressed his		and a day to meet
		been made to	displeasure that		to discuss runoff
		prevent runoff.	his original		on his property.
			request for		
			specific days and		
			times for watering		
			could not be met.		
7/31/2013	Dwain Maxwell	Resident called to let	SDS	On-going, SDS is	In Process
		SDS know a	representative	working with Mr.	
		sprinkler head was	agreed to meet	Maxwell and the	
		misaligned and		SDS revegetation	
		causing an irrigation	the following day	team to realign the	
		issue. Mr. Maxwell	to oberve the	sprinkler head and	
		also requested an	sprinkler head	check the other	
		update on what day	and to further	heads on the	
		might work to meet	discuss the	adjoining properties	
		an SDS	revegetation and	and will continue to	
		representative to	0 1	monitor.	
		walk through his	on his property.		
		property to further			
		discuss the			
		revegetation and			
		irrigation process.			

Our Other Publications: | Active Years | Beyond the Fold | Friday Real Estate | Pueblo West View

Holdouts question SDS compliance

Three holdouts await a response from county commissioners.

0	
Share	
Recommend	Sign Up to see what your friends recommend.

Postel: Tuesday, July 19, 2011 12:00 am Updated: 6:59 am, Tue Jul 19, 2011.

By CHRIS WOODKA | cwoodka@chieftain.com

Pueblo West holdouts facing condemnation of land under the Southern Delivery System don't think Colorado Springs is living up to its end of a bargain made with Pueblo County.

Two landowners, who obtained a 30-day breather from District Judge Victor Reyes, and a third landowner with an August court date, who says she cannot afford to pay costs associated with eminent domain, want commissioners to step in and enforce conditions under a 1041 land-use permit for SDS.

"I can afford to hire my own attorney, but if I do this as the Lone Ranger, it doesn't do anyone

any good." said Branson Haney, a real estate broker facing condemnation for casements on two Pueblo West properties. "Some of us mean business, and we're not going to be scared sheep."

Haney wrote a letter to commissioners on June 29 claiming violation of the 1041 permit condition, which says landowners would have no out of pocket expenses for land or easements acquired by SDS.

Commissioners still have not drafted a formal response to Haney's letter. according to commission Chairman John Cordova.

It's not the first time commissioners have been asked whether the 1041 conditions are being followed. In February, they questioned SDS project Director John Fredell on another provision that says eminent domain would be used only as a last resort.

The result was a delay in eminent domain procedures, which already had been authorized by Colorado Springs City Council. Colorado Springs has filed for eminent domain on 23 of 170 properties along an 18-mile route in Pueblo County.

Colorado Springs Utility officials say they have continued to work with property owners, even those in court.

"We consider previous negotiations with the more than 100 property owners in Pueblo West to be successful as we were able to reach agreements." said Janet Place an Ad

Holdouts question SDS compliance - The Pueblo Chieftain: Local

k

Rummel. SDS spokeswoman. "Our negotiations are handled on a case by case basis with each owner depending on individual circumstances.... We're continuing to work to reach agreements with the remaining property owners and address their specific concerns."

A different property negotiator, Lyman Ho, a Denver consultant hired by Colorado Springs, met with Haney following Reyes' decision to give Colorado Springs 30 days to work out a deal.

"It was a drastic change in the way I've been approached." said Haney, who supports SDS but says he and other property owners have gotten a raw deal.

He provided copies of correspondence with Wilson & Co. that showed he offered to sell the two lots outright to SDS in 2010. The response from Wilson real estate specialist Clara Lucero was to explain the procedures by which he would be taken to court.

Colorado Springs Utilities claims 19 contacts with Haney since February 2010. but Haney said many of those were just voice messages.

He said his meetings with Ho were the first time Colorado Springs negotiated. rather than simply reiterating the initial offer.

Haney stands by his June 29 letter, and said homeowners may be racking up costs that don't show up in court, or may not know they had other options.

Colorado Springs interprets the costs associated with SDS to mean the real estate transaction only, based on a memo from a Pueblo County consultant in December 2008, when the SDS 1041 hearings were commencing, Rummel said.

Haney said that's not the way he reads the condition as it was written in the final version of the conditions approved in March 2009.

Dwain Maxwell, whose property on Kirkwood Drive lies in the path of SDS, said Ho offered him \$800 more than the initial offer of \$2,220 for an easement across the back of his property. Maxwell thinks the money is a pittance for the inconvenience SDS will cause.

He said he also has assurances Colorado Springs will pay his court costs, even though he doesn't intend to accept the offer.

"I'd rather not have to deal with them at all rather than take their money." Maxwell said. "We would love for it to be over. They've worn us down."

Lavetta Kay, who will lose about a half-acre on her Ranch Road property to SDS. on Monday was able to set a new court date for Aug. 17 for a hearing on her case.

Kay is unable to afford an attorney to represent her, and said she continues to feel pressured to accept Colorado Springs' offer of \$5.300 after meeting with Ho.

"I think it's all for show for the judge." Kay said. "They've never come back with another figure."

Share

٥

Sign Up to see what your friends recommend. Racommend

More about Colorado

- ARTICLE: Bennet shows Coloradans' risk in debt ceiling battle
- ARTICLE: Gov. releases economic recovery 'blueprint'
 ARTICLE: Suicide lives in happy places
- ARTICLE: Giving thanks to a departed mountain spirit

TOWNNEWS

Online. Comm News. nity.

© Copyright 2014. The Pueblo Chieffain. Pueblo. CO. Powered by BLOX Content Management System from TownNews com [Terms of Use [Privacy Policy]

IBTG *	GRANTED	The moving party is hereby ORDERED to provide a copy of this Order to any pro se parties who have entered an appearance in this action within 10 days from the date of this order.	Victor I. Reyes
			District Court Judge DATE OF ORDER INDICATED ON ATTACHME EFILED Document - District Court
COLO Court A	RADO (10 th Judicial Address: 320 W. 10 th	Street lorado 81003-2953	2011CV334 CO Pueblo County District Court 10th JD Filing Date: Apr 1 2012 7:31PM MDT Filing ID: 43409784 Review Clerk: N/A
Petitio	ner: CITY OF COLO	ORADO SPRINGS, COLORADO	
vs.			ACOURT USE ONLYA
MAXV	VELL, individuals; Wall association; NICHC	MAXWELL and HELEN E. /ELLS FARGO BANK, N.A., a DLAS GRADISAR, Pueblo County LIVAS, Pueblo County Treasurer.	Case Number: 2011CV334 Div.: C

THIS MATTER having come before the Court for a two day trial to a jury on March 22 and 23, 2012, and the jury, having returned its verdict, and the Court, being fully advised in the premises, hereby FINDS THAT:

1. The court has full and complete jurisdiction of the subject matter and the parties and service of process has been made on all interested parties.

2. This is a condemnation action filed by the Petitioner, the City of Colorado Springs (the "City"), to acquire a permanent easement and a temporary construction easement across portions of a parcel of real property located in Pueblo County, Colorado known as 1123 North Kirkwood Drive, Pueblo West, Colorado 81007.

3. Respondents Dwain B. Maxwell and Helen E. Maxwell are the record owner of the property referred to in paragraph 2 above.

4. The easements acquired by the City are described in Exhibit A and Exhibit B attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference (the "Property").

5. The jury, in its verdict, awarded the Maxwells \$1,850.00 for the value of the permanent and temporary construction easements being acquire by the City in this action and no other amounts.

6. No respondents, other than the Maxwells, appeared at trial or have asserted any claim to the proceeds to be paid by the City in this case.

THEREFORE, it is hereby ORDERED that:

1. The easement interests in the property described in Exhibit A and Exhibit B have been duly and lawfully taken and condemned by the City of Colorado Springs pursuant to the statutes and the Constitution of the State of Colorado, and title to these easements is hereby vested in and conveyed to the City according to the terms described in Exhibit A and Exhibit B free and clear of any interest of the Respondents herein; and,

2. A certified copy of this Rule and Order shall be recorded and indexed in the office of the Clerk and Recorder of Pueblo County, Colorado, in like manner as if it were a deed of conveyance from the Respondents and parties interested to the City.

4. The Clerk of this Court is ordered to issue a check in the amount of \$1,850.00 payable to Dwain and Helen Maxwell and to send that check to them at the following address:

Dwain and Helen Maxwell 1123 North Kirkwood Drive Pueblo West, Colorado 81007

5. The Clerk of this Court is ordered to issue a check in the amount of all remaining funds deposited in this action payable to the City of Colorado Springs and to send that check to the City addressed as follows:

Anne Turner, Esq. Assistant City Attorney 30 S. Nevada Avenue, Suite 501 Colorado Springs, CO 80901-1575

Done and ordered this _____ day of ______, 2012

BY THE COURT:

District Court Judge

Court:	CO Pueblo County District Court 10th JD
Judge:	Victor I Reyes
File & Serve Transaction ID:	43347294
Current Date:	Apr 01, 2012
Case Number:	2011CV334
Case Name:	CITY OF COLORADO SPRINGS COLORADO and MAXWELL, DWAIN B et al
Count Anthonis	Victor I Reyes



Dwain & Helen Maxwell 1123 N. Kirkwood Dr. Pueblo West, Colorado, 81007

May 11, 2011

To: Colorado Springs Utilities Re: Southern Delivery System

Sirs:

8. . . .

Enclosed is a copy of the bill for appraisal for the above property.

This is submitted to you per the 1041 permit as issued by Pueblo County.

Once this statement has been satisfied (in the amount of \$4,000.00) we will be glad to discuss it with you. There are no provisions for us to furnish you with a copy of the appraisal in the 1041.

We would expect this billing to be satisfied no later than the posted due date of 5/24/11 and confirmation of payment and any other correspondence by e-mail at sirappy@msn.com.

Regards,

Duran Marrol

05-11-11P02:23 RCVD

INVOICE

Date: 5/9/2011 INVOICE # 20

Associates, LLC

4

1

то

Dwain and Helen Maxwell 1123 N. Kirkwood Drive Pueblo, CO 81007

Salesperson Job		Payment Terms	Due Date
		Net 15	5/24/2011
Description	Qiy	Unit Price	une "total
SDS Pipeline- Eminent Domain appraisal	1	\$4,000.00	\$4,000.00
		Subtotal:	\$4,000.00
		Sales Tax:	\$0.00
		Total:	\$4,000.00

Thank you for your business!

Make all checks payable to: Larson & Associates, LLC

16090 Highway 83 Colorado Springs, CO 80921 US

Phone (719)243-7206 Fax brad@larsonandassociatesllc.com



Colorado Springs Utilities It's how we're all connected

June 7. 2011

Larson & Associates, LLC c/o Brad Larson 16090 Highway 83 Colorado Springs, CO 80921 HAND DELIVERED

Subject: Payment for Invoice #20 (Dwain and Helen Maxwell)

Dear Mr. Larson:

Enclosed please find check number 196324 in the amount of \$4,000.00 as full and complete payment for an appraisal prepared on 1123 N. Kirkwood Drive, Pueblo, CO 81007 for Dwain and Helen Maxwell. Payment for this appraisal is being made in compliance with commitments made with Pueblo County as a part of the Southern Delivery System project for the City of Colorado Springs and Colorado Springs Utilities.

Should you have any questions concerning this matter, please feel free to contact me. During business hours, I may be reached at (719) 668-3756.

Sincerely.

Ivman R. Ho Land Acquisition Manager Southern Delivery System Colorado Springs Utilities

121 South Tejon Street, Third Floor P.O. Box 1103, Mail Code 930 Colorado Springs, CO 80947-0930

Phone 719/668-4800 Fax 719/668-8734 http://www.csu.org

No. 196324

Colorado Springs Utilities (719) 668-8550

Check Date: 06/03/2011

Description	Date	Gross Amount	Discount Amount	Net Amount Paid
Description 20 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	05/09/11	Gross Amount \$4,000.00	Discount Amount \$0.00	Net Amount Paid \$4,000.00
etach at Perforation Before		Totals \$4,000.00	\$0.00	\$4,000.0

Page 1 of 1

56-1544/441

JPMorgan Chase Bank N.A. Columbus, OH 43271



Colorado Springs Utilities It's how we're all connected

ACCOUNTS PAYABLE SECTION P.O. BOX 1103 COLORADO SPRINGS, CO 80947-0929 PAY Four Thousand Dollars AND 00 Cents



12141

.

1.

0

LARSON & ASSOCIATES LLC 16090 HIGHWAY 83 COLORADO SPRINGS CO 80921 UNITED STATES Check No. 196324



Check Amount \$ ***** 4,000.00

Hy Clarie

#0000196324# #044115443# 634866024#

FILED IN 2012 MAY 17 PM 2: 38 PUEBLO COMBINED COURT CLERK OF COURT

Dwain B. Maxwell Helen E. Maxwell 1123 N. Kirkwood Dr. Pueblo West, Colorado, 81007 (719) 647-5815 Filing Date: May 17 2012 8:40AM MDT Filing ID: 442 (01) 334- (Review Clerk: N/A

EFILED Document – District Court 2011CV334 **CO Pueblo County District Court 10th JD**

May 17, 2012

Judge Victor Reyes Your Honor.

Per your order, the billing for Colorado Springs Utilities/Southern Delivery System is enclosed.

This was authorized by the 1041 agreement between CSU/SDS and the Pueblo County Commissioners dated April 21st, 2009.

Just so you will know, your honor, this in no way includes compensation for the worries and woes, blood, sweat and tears inflicted on our household for this past three years and the future year for easement rights.

It does not include the noise and dust from the heavy equipment five days a week nor the lights on our home at night and the sound of working on industrial equipment late at night, literally in our own back yard.

It does not cover the loss of animal life that always came our way such as rabbits, deer, antelope, coyote and quail that the Colorado Game and Fish folks say will be away for two to three years ... and may never come back.

Oh yes, and we will certainly never forget the application of an "outhouse" literally in our own back yard. (We have pictures - that we were not allowed to show - as proof of this "monstrosity "that we were able to look at for several days. I don't know the amount that would be due if harassment was billable, but I feel certain it would far outweigh the attached figures.

Lyman Ho stated that we could bill him directly but we felt that your court order should not be ignored. In speaking with the appraiser, Ho is paying him direct.

Respectfully

BILLING STATEMENT FOR CSU/SDS PER 1041 AGREEMENT BETWEEN CSU/SDS AND PUEBLO COUNTY COMMISSION DATED: APRIL 21ST, 2009

AS OF: May 17, 2012

Mileage figured at \$.55 per mile

1 day court appearance, 12 miles each way, total 24 miles ... \$13.20 2 day court appearance, 12 miles each way, total 48 miles ... \$26.40 7 trips to Post Office, Reg. Mail, Return Receipt 10 miles each way \$77.00 7 trips to court answer, Reg. Mail, Return Receipt, 10 mi. each way \$77.00 2 trips CSU/SDS City Council - 35 mi. each way, 140 total ... \$77.00 1 trip Bureau Reclaim, 12 mi. each way, total 24 miles ... \$13.20

Certified Mail cost, Reg. Mail, Return Receipt \$66.37 District Court Filing ... \$158.00 District Court Search ... \$5.00

Delivery of this document to Pueblo County Court/Judge Reyes ...24 mi. ... \$13.20

TOTAL DUE: \$526.37

Note: This does not include any property damages that may be due at the time of completion.

COLFEETE MAS SCOTION ENVIRENTIAN	B. Recolved by (Printed Name) C. Date of Deliv	D. Is defivery address different from item 1? T Yes If YES, enter delivery address befow: D No	•	3. Sanktos Type 3. Sanktos Type 13. Sanktos Mail 13. Cartificol Mail 13. Sanktos Mail 13. Sanktos Mail 13. Sanktos Mail 14. Sanktos Mail 15. Sanktos Mail 15. Sanktos Mail 16. Sanktos Mail 17. Insured Mail 17. Insured Mail 18. Sanktos Mail	4. Restricted Delivery? (Ectim Pas) ロ Yes		\mathcal{F}_{i} , F	Comment THIS SECTION ON DELWERS	A Signature	B. Received by (Printed Name) C. Oate of Delive	D. Is definery address different from frem 1? C Yes If YES, enter delivery address befow: C No		3. Sarvice Type 3. Sarvice Type Contined Mail D Registered D Registered D Insured Mel D C.O.D.	4. Restricted Dolivery? (Extra Fee)	1 8494 5391.	um Place(pt 102866-02-14-154	
SENDER COMPLETE THIS SECTION	 Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete them 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired. Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you. Attend this card to the back of the maliplece, or on the front if stores commits. 	1. Article Addressed to:	Colo. Springs Util. P. O. Rox 1103	MASL cuder - 930	2. Attick Number 2. Attick North 2. Attick Number 2. Attick Number 2. (127.8)	PS Form 3811, February 2004 Domestic Return Receipt		SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION:	Them the standard of the stand 3. Also complete New 4 If Restricted Delivery is desired.	so that we can return the cant to you. Attach this card to the back of the malpiece, or on the front if space bemilts.	1. Article Addressed to:	Co. Spaings 44 145	121 STRIANST, MCGZ. Colo. Spaings Co.	80 9470 030	2. Article Number financian from savid 701.0 1.670 0001.	PS Form 3811, February 2004 Domestic Return Receipt	
VERSION OF BUILDING CONTRACTOR OF THE AND THE		ID. Is delivery address different from them 1? [] Yes If YES, enter delivery address before: [] No	CMALL	Service Type Let Centified Mall D Express Mell D Registered D Refum Recept for D Interred Mail D C.O.D.	4. Restricted Delivery? (Extra Fee) C Yee 2000 0001 0173 1.123		in the second se	4*. 01 2: 18	nesi cito necisco i necisco i necisco i servolte Sal Si	¥2	LUE E 2 854	5.75	PUEBLU 434 S PUEBL PUEBL PUEBL (Ters III: 01 (Ters III: 11) XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	1-800 239807 S	1-215-87	1 UFFICE 10091-2854 0091-2854 77 7	15.15
	 Complete Iterms 1, 2, and 3. Also complete item 4 if Reatricted Delivery is desired. Phint your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you. Attach this card to the back of the mailplece, or on the front if space permits. 	1. Article Addressed to:	EJ. Blicswer	1125 1712 St. 2200	1102 097	PS Form 3811, February 2004 Domestic Return Receipt	611 Ref Gr-	Saler st	s Filiai d Filiai d Filiai duana	n Staaps neer Colo USPS.com ta USPS.	show o	call datahua balanc	ក្តែ៖ ដែល ត្រៃ (ស្រុក) ស្រុក (ស្រុក) ស្រុក (ស្រុក) ស្រុក (ស្រុក) ស្រុក (ស្រុក) ស្រុក (ស្រុក) ស្រុក (សេក) ស្រុក (សេក) ស្រុក (សេក) () (សេក) () () () () () () () () () () () () ()	Fin for G	al un Si naranter Insloaer al USPS . Go Lo	ianos an el Servi Copy S. conzalu USDS. con	09:32:11 ide: 227048 de: 227048 de: 227048 de: 227048 de: 227048 de: 227048 de: 227048 de: 227048 de: 227048

COMPLETE THE SECTION OF LEADING	A. Signature B. Received by (Planted Nagne) C. Is delivery address different from item 1? [] Yes II YES, enter dolivery address before: [] No		 S. Garvico Type S. Garvited Mail Cartified Mail Pagitatored Factorial Mail A. Restricted Defivery/ (En 	7011 2000 0001 0172 9937 Domosto Return Rocolpt 102556 0244	COMPLEXE THIS INCLUDE ON DELIVERY	A Signature X Anon Wall of Ber Date of Defined B. Received by (Printed Name) C. Date of Defined	D, 19 dameny approxy approximation in the intervent of th		3. Services Type Cartitinel Mult C Express Mail C Registerand C Return Receipt for Merchence C Innamed Mnil C C.O.D.	XYS3 4. Restricted Dollyouy? (Extra Fee) D Yes	2000 0001 0172 8598	Domestic Peturn Receipt
SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION	 Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete item 4 if Restricted Delivery is deated. Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you. Attach this cand to the back of the melipiece, or on the front if space permits. 1. Article Addressed to: 	50 X -	11 as 17 19 Sp- Sutters	2. Article Number- (Transfer from service lebel) PS Form 3811, February 2004	SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION	t Complete itams 1, 2, and 3. Also complete themat if Resultched Delivery is desired. Benes II 'Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you. Attach this card to the back of the meliplece, or on the front if space permits.	1. Article Addressed to:	How Vreton Keye	Puebly co., 81003		2. Article Number (Transfer from service label) 701.1	zses-cz-M-1540 PS Form 3811, February 2004 Do
ROTHERE THIS SECTION OF DELIVERY	A Signature A Signature Agent X Addresses B. Reconvectory Printed Nemel C. Date of Delivery B. Is delivery address different from Ben 17 [7 D. Is delivery address different from Ben 17 [76]	If YES, other delivery eccess of	3. Service Type 3. Service Type Contribed Mell C Express Mail Return Receipt for Marchandis I Innurg(Mat) C C.O.D. 4. Restricted Delivery? (Extre Fee) C Ves	7011 2000 0001 0172 8604 Domestic Return Receipt	COMPLETE THY SECTION OF DELMERY	A Summer Agent Age	D. Is defined address different from item 1? [] Yes if YES, enter delivery address befow: [] No		-	A Prostictual Delivery (Entra Fae)		U172 2299
	ENDERT COMPLETE FILE SHO SECTION Complete Name 1, 2, and 3, Also complete item 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired. Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you. Attach this card to the back of the malpiece, or on the front if space permits.	5 DWARD J. BILCSINEN	raron, co., for 21	2. Articia Number (Transfer from service inbet) 701.1.2001 PS Form 3811, February 2004 Domestic R	LIDEO. A ONION FILE PLUS STATEM	Complete Items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete tem 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired. Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you. Attach this card to the back of the melipiece,	or on the front if space permitts. Article Addressed to:	J. Blissaven	125 17 19. 54.	a cost od (+) aris	Article Number	(namater from antrone morey 2





(0

weicome to POSTNET OT Pueblo West (719) 547-3493

Store:C0120 Clerk:165 Register:1 04/09/2012 10:49:50 Transaction#: 184051

BW Prints 8.5x11 Bond	201b White	15
800 0.08		6.40
Merchandis		6.40
	Standard:	0.25
Bala	ance Due:	6.65
***********0209	CCard:	6.65
	Change:	0.00
		5.4

Thank you for shopping here! Track your packages at www.postnet.com .25 Color Copies 8.5x11 241b Wh 1 Side

COMBINED COURT, PUEBLO COUNTY, STATE OF COLORADO (10 th Judicial District) Court Address: 320 W. 10 th Street Pueblo, Colorado 81003-2953 Telephone No.: (719) 583-7000 Petitioner: CITY OF COLORADO SPRINGS, COLORADO	
vs. Respondents: DWAIN B. MAXWELL and HELEN E. MAXWELL, individuals; WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A., a national association; NICHOLAS GRADISAR, Pueblo County Public Trustee; and DEL OLIVAS, Pueblo County Treasurer.	ACOURT USE ONLYA
Attorneys for Petitioner:	ACOURT OBE ONDER
Office of the City Attorney CHRISTOPHER J. MELCHER, CITY ATTORNEY/CHIEF LEGAL OFFICER Anne H. Turner (Reg. #: 38287) 30 S. Nevada Avenue, Suite 501 Colorado Springs, Colorado 80903 Phone Number: (719) 385-5909 FAX Number: (719) 385-5535 E-mail: <u>aturner@springsgov.com</u>	Case Number: 2011CV334 Div.: C
Edward J. Blieszner (Reg. #: 11161) WELBORN SULLIVAN MECK & TOOLEY, P.C. 1125 17 th Street. Suite 2200 Denver, Colorado 80202 Phone Number: (303) 830-2500 FAX Number: (303) 832-2366 E-mail: <u>eblieszner@wsmtlaw.com</u>	

PETITIONER'S RESPONSE TO BILL OF COSTS

PETITIONER, the City of Colorado Springs, Colorado, by and through the law firm of Welborn Sullivan Meck & Tooley, P.C. submits the following in response to the Respondents Maxwells' Bill of Costs:

1. Respondents Dwain and Helen Maxwell sent a letter to the Court dated May 17, 2012 in which they have requested, among other things, reimbursement of certain costs in the amount of \$526.37.

2. No copy of this letter was provided to the City: however, a copy was noted in the Court's file during a review of the computer files in the Lexis/Nexis system. This is not the first time the Maxwells have corresponded with the Court without providing a copy of their papers to counsel for the City. Since these parties are pro se, they may not be aware of their obligation to provide counsel for the City with copies of any and all correspondence or other documents sent to the Court, regardless of the nature of those letters, and the City requests that they be reminded of their obligation to do so.

3. Upon review of the requested costs, the City notes that many of these fall outside of the types of costs that are compensable. For example, litigants are not normally able to recover the cost of commuting from their homes to the courthouse in their hometown. Additionally, there are unexplained trips, such as one that appears to be to the Bureau of Reclamation.

4. Nevertheless, given the amount at issue, the City has no objection to the requested costs of \$526.37. Additionally, as noted in the Maxwells' letter, the City has paid the fees of the Maxwells' appraiser directly.

5. In agreeing to the pay the costs in this case the City is in no way agreeing that all of these costs are normally compensable and reserves the right to object to similar costs if requested in any other action.

WHEREFORE, the City of Colorado Springs has no objection to the requested costs of \$526.37.

DATED this 6th day of June, 2012

CHRISTOPHER J. MELCHER, CITY ATTORNEY/CHIEF LEGAL OFFICER ANNE H. TURNER City Attorney/Senior Attorney

WELBORN SULLIVAN MECK & TOOLEY, P.C.

s/ Edward J. Blieszner Edward J. Blieszner ATTORNEYS FOR PETITIONER

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 6th day of June, 2012. I electronically filed a true and correct copy of the foregoing by and through LexisNexis File and Serve and have designated notification of the filing to the following:

Donald J. Banner Banner & Bower, P.C. 503 Main Street, Suite 221 Pueblo, CO 81003

Helen E. Maxwell Dwain B. Maxwell 1123 N. Kirkwood Dr. Pueblo West, CO 81003

Wells Fargo, N.A. c/o Corporation Service Company 1560 Broadway, Suite 2090 Denver, CO 80202

s/Jo Cooks

3



REVEGETATION LICENSE AGREEMENT (YEAR ONE) Pueblo County

APN: <u>9520004010</u>

 Owner:
 Dwain and Helen Maxwell
 Tenant: NA

 Address:
 1123 Kirkwood Drive,
Pueblo West, CO 81007
 Tenant: NA

 Contact Info:
 719-647-5815

 Property Address:
 1123 Kirkwood Drive, Pueblo West, CO 81007

Owner ("Licensor") hereby authorizes the City of Colorado Springs, a home rule city and municipal corporation, on behalf of its enterprise, Colorado Springs Utilities ("Licensee"), its agents or contractors to enter upon said property for the purpose of Revegetation Activities within the lands described in Exhibits B and C attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference. These Revegetation Activities shall include the following: seeding, re-seeding, irrigation, irrigation installation, irrigation and irrigation maintenance, soil preparation, soil amending, minor grading, fence installation, fence maintenance, fence removal, and/or weed control. Any activities outside these defined activities are subject to the property owners consent

Licensor hereby certifies that he/she is the owner of the property at the address indicated above.

As consideration for the rights granted by this License, the Licensor shall be compensated the sum of Three Hundred and no/100 Dollars (\$300.00), and other good and valuable consideration upon execution and acceptance of this License.

This License shall commence on $5\pi^2$ 10²⁵ 20b and terminate one year thereafter. This License shall be non-exclusive and may be terminated by Licensor upon thirty (30) days written notice.

This License shall <u>not</u> be recorded at the Office of the Clerk and Recorder for the county in which the property is located.

Colorado Springs Utilities It's how we're all connected

This License shall be construed in accordance with the laws of the State of Colorado.

Notices shall be sent to the following addresses:

if to Licensor: Dwain & Helen Maxwell 1123 Kirkwood Drive Pueblo West, CO 81007

if to Licensee: **Colorado Springs Utilities** c/o Deputy Program Director P.O. Box 1103, Mail Code 930 Colorado Springs, CO 80947-0930

Dated this 30th day of August, 2012

Owner/Licensor:

Colorado Springs Utilities/Licensee:

APPROVED AS TO FORM CITY OF COLORADO SPRINGS CITY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE

Name

wain Theswell

PARCEL DE	SIGNATION:	9520004010	DATE:	December 3, 2009
OWNER:	MAXWELL, D	WAIN B. & HELEN E., (Owne	r current as of the date of cert	ification hereon)

EXHIBIT A

LOT 9, BLOCK 5, TRACT NO. 237, PUEBLO WEST COLORADO, located in the Southeast Quarter of Section 20, Township 19 South, Range 65 West of the Sixth Principal Meridian, Pueblo County, Colorado, according to the plat thereof recorded in Book 1679 at Page 219 of the records of Pueblo County.



Prepared for and on behalf of Colorado Springs Utilities by: Thomas W. Shaughnessy, L.S. 38166, of CRITIGEN, LLC, 90 South Cascade Ave., Suite 700, Colorado Springs, Co, 80903

9520004010_EXA.doc

PARCEL DI	ESIGNATION:	9520004010	DATE:	December 3, 2009
OWNER:	MAXWELL, D	WAIN B. & HELEN E., (Owne	r current as of the date of cert	ification hereon)

EXHIBIT B LEGAL DESCRIPTION

A permanent easement situated in LOT 9, BLOCK 5, TRACT NO. 237, PUEBLO WEST COLORADO, located in the Southeast Quarter of Section 20, Township 19 South, Range 65 West of the Sixth Principal Meridian, Pueblo County, Colorado, according to the plat thereof recorded in Book 1679 at Page 219 of the records of Pueblo County, more particularly described as follows:

The east 52.51 feet of the west 60.01 feet of said Lot 9.

Said easement contains 7,352 square feet or 0.169 acres more or less.

EXHIBIT C SKETCH is attached hereto and is only intended to depict Exhibit B – Legal Description. In the event that Exhibit B contains an ambiguity, Exhibit C may be used to solve said ambiguity.



Prepared for and on behalf of Colorado Springs Utilities by: Thomas W. Shaughnessy, L.S. 38166, of CRITIGEN, LLC, 90 South Cascade Ave., Suite 700, Colorado Springs, Co, 80903

9520004010_EXB.doc



.

@ CH2M HILL 2004. All rights reserved. This document and the ideas and designs incorporated herein, as an instrument of professional service, is the property

PARCEL DE	SIGNATION:	9520004010		DATE:	March 17, 2010
OWNER:	MAXWELL, D	WAIN B. & HELEN E.	(Owner current as of the c	late ol certi	fication hereon)

EXHIBIT A

LOT 9, BLOCK 5, TRACT NO. 237, PUEBLO WEST COLORADO, located in the Southeast Quarter of Section 20, Township 19 South, Range 65 West of the Sixth Principal Meridian, Pueblo County, Colorado, according to the plat thereof recorded in Book 1679 at Page 219 of the records of Pueblo County.



Prepared for and on behalf of Colorado Springs Utilities by: Thomas W. Shaughnessy, L.S. 38166, of CRITIGEN, LLC, 90 South Cascade Avenue, Suite 700, Colorado Springs, Colorado, 80903

9520004010TE_EXA.doc

PARCEL DE	SIGNATION:	9520004010		ATE:	March 17, 2010
	110	WAIN B. & HELEN E.	(Owner current as of the dat	e of certi	fication hereon)

EXHIBIT B LEGAL DESCRIPTION

A temporary construction easement situated in LOT 9, BLOCK 5, TRACT NO. 237, PUEBLO WEST COLORADO, located in the Southeast Quarter of Section 20, Township 19 South, Range 65 West of the Sixth Principal Meridian, Pueblo County, Colorado, according to the plat thereof recorded in Book 1679 at Page 219 of the records of Pueblo County, more particularly described as follows:

The west 7.50 feet and the east 35.01 feet of the west 95.02 feet of said Lot 9.

'Said easement contains 5,952 square feet or 0.137 acres more or less.

EXHIBIT C SKETCH is attached hereto and is only intended to depict Exhibit B – Legal Description. In the event that Exhibit B contains an ambiguity, Exhibit C may be used to solve said ambiguity.



Prepared for and on behalf of Colorado Springs Utilities by: Thomas W. Shaughnessy, L.S. 38166, of CRITIGEN, LLC, 90 South Cascade Avenue, Suite 700, Colorado Springs, Colorado, 80903

9520004010TE_EXB.doc



1.14

CLP2M HILL 2004. All rights reserved. This document and the ideas and designs incompanted herein, as an instrument of professional service, is the property of clean and the ideas and designs incompared herein, as an instrument of professional service, is the property of clean and the ideas and designs incompared herein as a clean and the ideas and designs incompared herein as a clean and the ideas and designs incompared herein as a clean and the ideas and designs incompared herein as a clean and the ideas and designs incompared herein as a clean and the ideas and designs incompared herein as a clean and the ideas and designs incompared herein as a clean and the ideas and designs incompared herein as a clean and the ideas and designs incompared herein as a clean and the ideas and designs incompared herein as a clean and the ideas and designs incompared herein as a clean and the ideas and designs incompared herein as a clean and the ideas and designs incompared herein as a clean and the ideas and designs incompared herein as a clean and the ideas and designs incompared herein as a clean and the ideas and designs incompared herein as a clean and the ideas and designs incompared herein as a clean and the ideas and designs incompared herein as a clean and the ideas and designs incompared herein as a clean and the ideas and the id

RELEASE

The undersigned hereby acknowledge(s) receipt from Colorado Springs Utilities (CSU), the sum of One and no/100 dollar (\$1.00) and other good and valuable consideration as full and final payment for any claims of damage or loss related to the construction of the Southern Delivery System Project on the real property described in the attached Exhibit A. The undersigned hereby releases the City of Colorado Springs acting by and through Colorado Springs Utilities, and its contractors, agents and employees, from any and all claims and demands of whatsoever nature related to construction and revegetation of the Southern Delivery System to date, including but not limited to stucco damage, loss of use and restoration to property directly or indirectly resulting from said construction. This agreement does not release SDS/CSU from any claims arising out of future construction or revegetation activities.

Signed and delivered this 10th day of 5007 2012. **Owners:** Dwain B. Maxwell 1 Helen E. Maxwell

Approved as to For

Attorney - Utilities

Approved: Estate Services Sian

SDS Deputy Director

PARCEL DE	SIGNATION:	9520004010	DATE	
		DWAIN B. & HELEN E., (Own	DATE:	December 3, 2009

EXHIBIT A

LOT 9, BLOCK 5, TRACT NO. 237, PUEBLO WEST COLORADO, located in the Southeast Quarter of Section 20, Township 19 South, Range 65 West of the Sixth Principal Meridian, Pueblo County, Colorado, according to the plat thereof recorded in Book 1679 at Page 219 of the records of Pueblo County.



Prepared for and on behalf of Colorado Springs Utilities by: Thomas W. Shaughnessy, L.S. 38166, of CRITIGEN, LLC, 90 South Cascade Ave., Suite 700, Colorado Springs, Co, 80903 9520004010_EXA.doc

PARCEL D	ESIGNATION	9520004010		T
			DATE:	December 3, 2009
OWNER:	MAXWELL,	OWAIN B. & HELEN E., (Own		1

EXHIBIT B LEGAL DESCRIPTION

A permanent easement situated in LOT 9, BLOCK 5, TRACT NO. 237, PUEBLO WEST COLORADO, located in the Southeast Quarter of Section 20, Township 19 South, Range 65 West of the Sixth Principal Meridian, Pueblo County, Colorado, according to the plat thereof recorded in Book 1679 at Page 219 of the records of Pueblo County, more particularly described as follows:

The east 52.51 feet of the west 60.01 feet of said Lot 9.

Said easement contains 7,352 square feet or 0.169 acres more or less.

EXHIBIT C SKETCH is attached hereto and is only intended to depict Exhibit B - Legal Description. In the event that Exhibit B contains an ambiguity, Exhibit C may be used to solve said ambiguity.



Prepared for and on behalf of Colorado Springs Utilities by: Thomas W. Shaughnessy, L.S. 38165, of CRITIGEN, LLC, 90 South Cascade Ave., Suite 700, Colorado Springs, Co, 80903

9520004010_EXB.doc



.

PARCEL D	ESIGNATION:	9520004010	DATE	March 47 20.0
OWNER:	MAXWELL,	DWAIN B. & HELEN E. (Owner curre	TOATL.	March 17, 2010

EXHIBIT A

LOT 9, BLOCK 5, TRACT NO. 237, PUEBLO WEST COLORADO, located in the Southeast Quarter of Section 20, Township 19 South, Range 65 West of the Sixth Principal Meridian, Pueblo County, Colorado, according to the plat thereof recorded in Book 1679 at Page 219 of the records of Pueblo County.



Prepared for and on behalf of Colorado Springs Utilities by: Thomas W. Shaughnessy, L.S. 38166, of CRITIGEN, LLC, 90 South Cascade Avenue, Suite 700, Colorado Springs, Colorado, 80903 9520004010TE_EXA.doc

PARCEL DESIGNATION			T
PARCEL DESIGNATION:	9520004010	DATE	March 17, 2010
OWNED. MANUEL	DWAIN B. & HELEN E. (Owner c	Tears.	Tiviarch 17, 2010

EXHIBIT B LEGAL DESCRIPTION

A temporary construction easement situated in LOT 9, BLOCK 5, TRACT NO. 237, PUEBLO WEST COLORADO, located in the Southeast Quarter of Section 20, Township 19 South, Range 65 West of the Sixth Principal Meridian, Pueblo County, Colorado, according to the plat thereof recorded in Book 1679 at Page 219 of the records of Pueblo County, more particularly described as follows:

The west 7.50 feet and the east 35.01 feet of the west 95.02 feet of said Lot 9.

Said easement contains 5,952 square feet or 0.137 acres more or less.

EXHIBIT C SKETCH is attached hereto and is only intended to depict Exhibit B – Legal Description. In the event that Exhibit B contains an ambiguity, Exhibit C may be used to solve said ambiguity.



Prepared for and on behalf of Colorado Springs Utilities by: Thomas W. Shaughnessy, L.S. 38166, of CRITIGEN, LLC, 90 South Cascade Avenue, Suite 700, Colorado Springs, Colorado, 80903

9520004010TE_EXB.doc

